DOCKET FILE COPY OPIGINAL

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

ORIGINAL

2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 100 Washington, D.C. 20006-6801

202-955-3000 FAX 202-955-5564 www.hklaw.com

RECEIVED

JUL 3 0 2004

July 30, 2004

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Atlanta Seattle Bethesda Tallahassee Boston Tampa Bradenton Washington, D.C. Chicago * West Palm Beach Fort Lauderdale Jacksonville International Offices: Lakeland Caracas** Los Angeles Helsinki Miami Mexico City New York Rio de Janeiro Northern Virginia São Paulo Orlando Tel Aviv* Portland Tokyo Providence St. Petersburg *Holland & Knight LLC

San Francisco

**Representative Office

San Antonio DAVID A. O'CONNOR

Annapolis

202-828-1889

david.oconnor@hklaw.com

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Marlene H. Dortch, Esq.
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW, Room TW-B204
Washington, DC 20554

RE:

Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities

CC Docket No. 98-67

Application for Review

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Transmitted herewith, on behalf of Hamilton Relay, Inc., are an original and four copies of its Application for Review of the June 30, 2004 Order released by the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau in this proceeding.

Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

David A. O'Connor

Counsel for Hamilton Relay, Inc.

Enclosure

No. of Copies recid

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

Telecommunications Relay Services) CC Docket No. 98-67 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY and Speech-to-Speech Services for)	In the Matter of)		JUL 3 0 2004
Disabilities)	and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech)))	CC Docket No. 98-67	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

To: The Commission

APPLICATION FOR REVIEW

Hamilton Relay, Inc. ("Hamilton"), by its counsel and pursuant to Section

1.115 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.115, hereby applies for review of the

June 30, 2004 Order of the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau ("Bureau") in

this proceeding.¹ In the Order, the Bureau abandoned the "cost-plus"

reimbursement rate methodology for traditional telecommunications relay services

("TRS"), which has been the reimbursement methodology used for over a decade. In

its place, the Bureau applied a "rate of return" methodology. The Bureau indicated

its belief that its actions were "necessarily bound by" the Commission's 2004 TRS

Order which was released on the same day as the Bureau's Order.²

¹ Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities, Order, CC Docket No. 98-67, DA 04-1999 (CGB rel. June 30, 2004) ("Order").

² Id. para. 30 (citing Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities, Report and Order, Order on Reconsideration, and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket Nos. 90-571, 98-67, 03-123, FCC 04-137 (rel. June 30, 2004) ("2004 TRS Order")).

Hamilton submits that the issues presented in the Bureau's *Order* are inextricably interwoven with the issues presented in the 2004 TRS Order.

Hamilton intends to file, at the appropriate time, a Petition for Reconsideration of the Commission's decision in the 2004 TRS Order to apply an 11.25% rate of return on investment to TRS.³

In the meantime, Hamilton is filing this Application for Review to ensure that the Bureau's *Order* implementing the 2004 TRS Order does not become a final order and is thus subject to revision if the Commission changes its decision in the 2004 TRS Order.

Respectfully submitted,

HAMILTON RELAY, INC.

Alan Y. Naftalin

David A. O'Connor

Holland & Knight LLP

2099 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Suite 100

Washington, DC 20006

Tel: 202-828-1889

E-mail: david.oconnor@hklaw.com

Its Counsel

July 30, 2004

2144981_v1

³ Id. para. 182. The 2004 TRS Order was released on June 30, 2004 but has not yet been published in the Federal Register. Pursuant to Section 1.106(f) of the Commission's rules, petitions for reconsideration of the 2004 TRS Order will be due 30 days after publication of the 2004 TRS Order in the Federal Register. See 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.4(b)(1), 1.106.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Marianne Trana, an employee of Holland & Knight LLP, hereby certify that on July 30, 2004, a copy of the foregoing "Application for Review" was served, via first class mail, to the following:

Karen Peltz Strauss, Esq. KPS Consulting 2120 L Street NW Washington, DC 20037 Counsel for Communications Services for the Deaf, Inc.

George L. Lyon, Jr., Esq. Lukas, Nace, Guttierez & Sachs, Chartered 1111 19th Street NW, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036 Counsel for Hands On Video Relay Services, Inc.

Thomas E. Chandler, Esq. Chief, Disabilities Rights Office Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Margaret Egler, Esq.
Deputy Bureau Chief (Policy)
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Cheryl King, Esq.
Deputy Chief, Disabilities Rights Office
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Gregory Hlibock, Esq. Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Marianne Trana

2144981_v1